



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,995 03/11/2004		Wah Kan Cheung	20278.0 Cheung	5166	
. 1342	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	LYTLE LLP	WRIGHT, ANDREW D			
3400 HSBC	TUAL PROPERTY GROU CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	NY 14203-3509	3617			

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/797,9	95 .	CHEUNG, WAH KAN				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Andrew V	/right	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2005.								
2a) 🔯	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	· (s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/16/05</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	teatent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites "a first polyolefin non-foam sheet layer" and "an intermediate metallocene-based non-foam polyolefin layer". These are negative limitations because of use of the phrase "non-foam". Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion (see MPEP §2173.05(i)). The original disclosure does not support the negative limitation. Therefore, the limitation is new matter. Claims 2-14 depend from claim 1 and are rejected for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bambara et al. (US 5,882,776) in view of Lauer et al. (US 6,221,451). Bambara includes elements of claims 1-9. Bambara shows a foam structure comprising core (4), intermediate layer (24), and first layer (22). The thickness of each layer is shown in figure 3. The foam structure can be a bodyboard. The core and layers are foams. The foams can be any of the polymer and copolymer blends listed in line 63 of column 6 through line 18 of column 7. Bambara discloses ethylene-alpha olefin copolymer. copolymer of ethylene with octene, polyethylene, polypropylene, ethylene vinyl acetate, thermal bonding. Bambara discloses that each layer has a density greater than the core density. Bambara does not disclose that the first layer is non-foam and that the intermediate layer is non-foam. Lauer shows a sports board with a foam core and outer layers. Lauer discloses that the outer layers can be either foamed or non-foamed. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bambara by using a non-foamed first layer and nonfoamed intermediate layer. The motivation would be to optimize parameters such as cost, weight, and strength by utilizing different known materials with different characteristics.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bambara in view of Lauer as applied to claims 1-9 above, and further in view of Schneider et al. (US 5,211,593). Regarding claim 10, Bambara does not disclose a

Art Unit: 3617

graphically imprinted layer bonded to the outer surface of the first layer. Schneider shows a body board with layer (44). Graphically imprinted layer (42) is bonded to the outer surface of layer (44). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bambara by adding a graphic layer as taught by Schneider. The motivation would be to enhance the aesthetic appeal of the bodyboard.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number 571-272-6690. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at 571-272-6684. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9306. The fax number directly to the examiner for unofficial communications is 571-273-6690.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617

